

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/892,614	06/28/2001	George Robert Atkinson	078883-0133	4908		
22428 7:	590 09/23/2003					
FOLEY AND LARDNER			EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	= = : ::	DOUGLAS, STEVEN O				
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3751	Q/		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W			
-1	·	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	·	09/892,614		ATKINSON ET AL	<del>.</del> .			
Office Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit				
	·	Steven O. Dougla	ıs	3751				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period f r Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) <b></b> I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	August 2003 .						
·		is action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	laim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application							
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,13 and 14</u> is/are	withdrawn from co	onsideration.					
·	laim(s) <u>6-12 and 15-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.							
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.					
2	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6) 1		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/892,614

Art Unit: 3751

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Overbeck et al.

The Overbeck et al. reference discloses a microarraying apparatus comprising a well platform Mw (see Fig. 4a), but does not disclose it as having height adjustment associated therewith. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the well platform to be height adjustable, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-4-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that there lacks any motivation or suggestion to modify the Overbeck device to have height adjustability because Applicant has both identified a problem and a solution with the art is acknowledged. However, the concept of adjustability to solve existing problems in many art fields is well known and settled as set forth by *In re Stevens*.

## Conclusion

Claims 6-12 and 15-20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,614

Art Unit: 3751

المتعرز أيام

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 3

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891.

The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri 6:30-7:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 793

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3751

SD

9-17-03